arXiv:1303.6973v1 [math.RT] 27 Mar 2013

REALIZATIONS OF THE THREE POINT LIE ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathcal{R})\oplus(\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}/d\mathcal{R})$.

BEN COX AND ELIZABETH JURISICH

Abstract. We describe the universal central extension of the three point current algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathcal{R})$ where $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u\,|\,u^2=t^2+4t]$ and construct realizations of it in terms of sums of partial differential operators.

1. Introduction

It is well known from the work of C. Kassel and J.L. Loday (see [20], and [21]) that if R is a commutative algebra and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra, both defined over the complex numbers, then the universal central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes R$ is the vector space $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes R)\oplus\Omega^1_R/dR$ where Ω^1_R/dR is the space of Kähler differentials modulo exact forms (see [21]). The vector space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is made into a Lie algebra by defining

$$[x\otimes f,y\otimes g]:=[xy]\otimes fg+(x,y)\overline{fdg},\quad [x\otimes f,\omega]=0$$

for $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$, $f,g\in R$, $\omega\in\Omega^1_R/dR$ and $(-,-)$ denotes the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Here $\overline{a}$ denotes the image of $a\in\Omega^1_R$ in the quotient Ω^1_R/dR. A somewhat vague but natural question comes to mind is whether there exists free field or Wakimoto type realizations of these algebras. It is well known from the work of M. Wakimoto and B. Feigin and E. Frenkel what the answer is when R is the ring of Laurent polynomials in one variable (see [36] and [11]). We find such a realization in the setting where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $R=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u|u^2=t^2+4t]$ is the three point algebra.

In Kazhdan and Luszig's explicit study of the tensor structure of modules for affine Lie algebras (see [22] and [23]) the ring of functions regular everywhere except at a finite number of points appears naturally. This algebra M. Bremner gave the name *n-point algebra*. In particular in the monograph [16, Ch. 12] algebras of the form $\oplus_{i=1}^n\mathfrak{g}((t-x_i))\oplus\mathbb{C}c$ appear in the description of the conformal blocks. These contain the n-point algebras $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[(t-x_1)^{-1},\dots,(t-x_N)^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}c$ modulo part of the center Ω_R/dR. M. Bremner explicitly described the universal central extension of such an algebra in [3].

Consider now the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$ with coordinate function s and fix three distinct points a_1,a_2,a_3 on this Riemann sphere. Let R denote the ring of rational functions with poles only in the set $\{a_1,a_2,a_3\}$. It is known that the automorphism group $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathbb{C}(s)$ is simply 3-transitive and R is a subring of $\mathbb{C}(s)$, so that R is isomorphic to the ring of rational functions with poles at $\{\infty,0,1,a\}$. Motivated by this isomorphism one sets $a=a_4$ and here the *4-point ring* is $R=R_a=\mathbb{C}[s,s^{-1},(s-1)^{-1},(s-a)^{-1}]$ where $a\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. Let $S:=S_b=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u]$ where $u^2=t^2-2bt+1$ with b a complex number not equal to ±1. Then M. Bremner has shown us that $R_a\cong S_b$. As the later, being $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, is a cousin to super Lie algebras, and is thus more immediately amendable to the theatrics of conformal field theory. Moreover Bremner has given an explicit description of the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes R$, in terms of ultraspherical

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B67, 81R10.

Key words and phrases. Wakimoto Modules, Three Point Algebras, Affine Lie Algebras, Fock Spaces.

(Gegenbauer) polynomials where R is the four point algebra (see [5]). In [7] the first author gave a realization for the four point algebra where the center acts nontrivially.

In his study of the elliptic affine Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,R)\oplus(\Omega_R/dR)$ where $R=\mathbb{C}[x,x^{-1},y\,|\,y^2=4x^3-g_2x-g_3]$, M. Bremner has also explicitly described the universal central extension of this algebra in terms of Pollaczek polynomials (see [4]). Essentially the same algebras appear in recent work of A. Fialowski and M. Schlichenmaier [13] and [12]. Together with André Bueno and Vyacheslav Futorny, the first author described free field type realizations of the elliptic Lie algebra where $R=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u\,|,u^2=t^3-2bt^2-t]$, $b\neq\pm1$ (see [6]).

Below we look at the three point algebra case where R denotes the ring of rational functions with poles only in the set $\{a_1,a_2,a_3\}$. This algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[s,s^{-1},(s-1)^{-1}]$. M. Schlichenmaier has a slightly different description of the three point algebra as $\mathbb{C}[(z^2-a^2)^k,z(z^2-a^2)^k\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z}]$ where $a\neq0$ (see [30]). We show that $R\cong\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u\,|\,u^2=t^2+4t]$ and thus looks more like S_b above. Our main result Theorem 5.1 provides a natural free field realization in terms of a β-γ-system and the oscillator algebra of the three point affine Lie algebra when $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Just as in the case of intermediate Wakimoto modules defined in [8], there are two different realizations depending on two different normal orderings. Besides M. Bermner's article mentioned above, other work on the universal central extension of 3-point algebras can be found in [1]. Previous related work on highest weight modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,R)$ can be found in H. P. Jakobsen and V. Kac [18].

The three point algebra is perhaps the simplest non-trivial example of a Krichever-Novikov algebra beyond an affine Kac-Moody algebra (see [25], [24], [26]). A fair amount of interesting and fundamental work has be done by Krichever, Novikov, Schlichenmaier, and Sheinman on the representation theory of the Krichever-Novikov algebras. In particular Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov theory and analogues of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations are developed for these algebras (see the survey article [34], and for example [35], [35],[33],[30],[31], and [29]).

The initial motivation for the use of Wakimoto's realization was to prove a conjecture of V. Kac and D. Kazhdan on the character of certain irreducible representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level (see [36] and [14]). Another motivation for constructing free field realizations is that they are used to provide integral solutions to the KZ-equations (see for example [28] and [9] and their references). A third is that they are used to help in determining the center of a certain completion of the enveloping algebra of an affine Lie algebra at the critical level which is an important ingredient in the geometric Langland's correspondence [15]. Yet a fourth is that free field realizations of an affine Lie algebra appear naturally in the context of the generalized AKNS hierarchies [10].

The authors would like to thank Murray Bremner for bringing this problem to their attention and they would also like to thank the College of Charleston for their summer Research and Development Grant which supported this work.

This paper is dedicated to Robert Wilson.

2. THE 3-POINT RING.

The three point algebra has at least four incarnations.

2.1. **Three point algebras.** Fix $0\neq a\in\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}&:=\mathbb{C}[s,s^{-1},(s-1)^{-1}],\\ \mathcal{R}&:=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1},u\,|\,u^2=t^2+4t],\\ \mathcal{A}&:=\mathcal{A}_a=\mathbb{C}[(z^2-a^2)^k,z(z^2-a^2)^k\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z}].\end{aligned}$$

Note that Bremner introduced the ring $\mathcal{S}$ and Schichenmaier introduced $\mathcal{A}$ (see [30]). Variants of $\mathcal{R}$ were introduced by Bremner for elliptic and 3-point algebras.

Proposition 2.1. (1) The rings $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are isomorphic whereby $t\mapsto s^{-1}(s-1)^2$, and $u\mapsto s-s^{-1}$.

(2) The rings $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. (1). Let $\bar{f}:\mathbb{C}[t,u]\to\mathcal{S}$ be the ring homomorphism defined $\bar{f}(t)=s^{-1}(s-1)^2=s-2+s^{-1}$, $\bar{f}(u)=s-s^{-1}$.

We first check that

$$\bar{f}(u^2-(t^2+4t))=(s-s^{-1})^2-(s-2+s^{-1})^2-4(s-2+s^{-1})=0$$

and $\bar{f}(t)=s^{-1}(s-1)^2$ is invertible in $\mathcal{S}$. Hence the map $\bar{f}$ descends to a well defined ring homomorphism $f:\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{S}$. To show that it is onto we essentially solve for s and s^{-1} in terms of t and u. The inverse ring homomorphism of f is $\phi:\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$\phi(s)=\frac{t+2+u}{2},\quad \phi(s^{-1})=\frac{t+2-u}{2}.$$

In particular $\phi((s-1)^{-1})=\dfrac{t^{-1}u-1}{2}$.

For part (2) observe $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[z,(z-a)^{-1},(z+a)^{-1}]$ which after setting $z=2as-a$ we get $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[s,s^{-1},(s-1)^{-1}]$. Thus an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is implemented by the assignment $z\mapsto a(t+u)$, $(z+a)^{-1}\mapsto\dfrac{t+2-u}{4a}$ and $(z-a)^{-1}\mapsto\dfrac{t^{-1}u-1}{4a}$. □

2.2. **The Universal Central Extension of the Current Algebra $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathcal{A}$.** Let R be a commutative algebra defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the left R-module $F=R\otimes R$ with left action given by $f(g\otimes h)=fg\otimes h$ for $f,g,h\in R$ and let K be the submodule generated by the elements $1\otimes fg-f\otimes g-g\otimes f$. Then $\Omega_R^1=F/K$ is the module of *Kähler differentials*. The element $f\otimes g+K$ is traditionally denoted by fdg. The canonical map $d:R\to\Omega_R^1$ is given by $df=1\otimes f+K$. The *exact differentials* are the elements of the subspace dR. The coset of fdg modulo dR is denoted by $\overline{fdg}$. As C. Kassel showed the universal central extension of the current algebra $\mathfrak{g}\otimes R$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra defined over $\mathbb{C}$, is the vector space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}=(\mathfrak{g}\otimes R)\oplus\Omega_R^1/dR$ with Lie bracket given by

$$[x\otimes f,Y\otimes g]=[xy]\otimes fg+(x,y)\overline{fdg},[x\otimes f,\omega]=0,[\omega,\omega']=0,$$

where $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$, and $\omega,\omega'\in\Omega_R^1/dR$ and (x,y) denotes the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

There are at least four incarnations of the three point algebras, three of which are defined as $\mathfrak{g}\otimes R\oplus\Omega_R/dR$ where $R=\mathcal{S},\mathcal{R},\mathcal{A}$ given above. The forth incarnation appears in the work of G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger given in terms of the tetrahedron algebra (see [1]) . We will only work with $R=\mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 2.2 ([3], see also [5]). Let $\mathcal{R}$ be as above. The set

$$\{\omega_0:=\overline{t^{-1}dt},\ \ \omega_1:=\overline{t^{-1}u\,dt}\}$$

is a basis of $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}/d\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The proof follows almost exactly along the lines of [5] and [3] and would be omitted if not for the fact that we need some of the formulae that appear in the proof. As $\mathcal{R}$ has basis $\{t^k,t^lu\,|\,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ has a spanning set given by the image in the quotient F/K of the tensor product of these basis elements. We know $\frac{1}{2}u\,d(u^2)=u^2\,du$. Next we observe that since $u^2=t^2+4t$ one has in $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$,

$$u(t+2)\,dt=\frac{1}{2}u(2t+4)\,dt=\frac{1}{2}u\,d(u^2)=u^2\,du=(t^2+4t)\,du$$

and after multiplying this on the left by t^k we get

$$(t^{k+1}+2t^k)u\,dt-(t^{k+2}+4t^{k+1})\,du=0 \tag{2.1}$$

in $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(t^k) &= kt^{k-1}\,dt,\\
d(t^k u) &= t^k \;\, du + kt^{k-1}u\,dt,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

so that in the quotient $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}/d\mathcal{R}$ we have by (2.1) followed by (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\equiv (t^{k+1}+2t^k)u\,dt - (t^{k+2}+4t^{k+1})\,du\\
&\equiv (t^{k+1}+2t^k)u\,dt - (-(k+2)t^{k+1}-4(k+1)t^k)u\,dt\\
&\equiv \big((k+3)t^{k+1}+(4k+6)t^k\big)\,u\,dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
t^k u\,dt \equiv -\frac{(k+3)}{(4k+6)}t^{k+1}u\,dt \mod d\mathcal{R} \tag{2.3}
$$

so that

$$
t^{-k}u\,dt \equiv t^{-3}u\,dt \equiv 0 \mod d\mathcal{R}, \quad k\geq 3,
$$

and

$$
t^{k+1}u\,dt \equiv -\frac{(4k+6)}{(k+3)}t^k u\,dt \mod d\mathcal{R},
$$

so that $t^k u\,dt$ can be written in terms of $t^{-1}u\,dt$ for $k\geq -2$ modulo $d\mathcal{R}$. Thus $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ is spanned as a left $\mathcal{R}$-module by dt and du, furthermore

$$
t^{k-1}\,dt \equiv \frac{1}{k}d(t^k)\equiv 0 \mod d\mathcal{R},\ \text{for } k\neq 0 \tag{2.4}
$$

By equations (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) we have $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}/d\mathcal{R}$ is spanned by $\{\overline{t^{-1}\,dt},\overline{t^{-1}u\,dt}\}$. We know by the Riemann-Roch Theorem that the dimension of this space of Kähler differentials modulo exact forms on the sphere with three punctures has dimension 2 (see [3]). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 2.3. In Ω^1_R/dR, one has

$$
\overline{t^k\,dt^l} = -k\delta_{l,-k}\omega_0, \tag{2.5}
$$

$$
\overline{t^k u\,d(t^l u)} = \left((l+1)\delta_{k+l,-2}+(4l+2)\delta_{k+l,-1}\right)\omega_0 \tag{2.6}
$$

$$
\overline{t^k\,d(t^l u)} = -k\delta_{k,-l}\omega_1 \tag{2.7}
$$

Proof. Using (2.2) above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^k\,d(t^l u) &\equiv t^k\;\,(lt^{l-1}u\,dt + t^l\,du)\\
&\equiv lt^{l+k-1}u\,dt + t^{l+k}\,du\\
&\equiv lt^{l+k-1}u\,dt - (l+k)t^{l+k-1}u\,dt\\
&\equiv -kt^{l+k-1}u\,dt\\
&\equiv -k\delta_{l+k,0}t^{-1}u\,dt \mod d\mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}$.

Next we observe $u\,du = \dfrac{1}{2}\,d(u^2) = \dfrac{1}{2}\,d(t^2+4t) = (t+2)\,dt$ so that in $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$,

$$
t^k u\,du = (t^{k+1}+2t^k)\,dt. \tag{2.8}
$$

By (2.8) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
t^k u\, d(t^l u) &= t^k u(lt^{l-1}u\,dt + t^l\,du) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\mathcal{R}} \\
&= (lt^{l+k-1}u^2\,dt + t^{l+k}u\,du) \\
&= (lt^{l+k-1}(t^2+4t)\,dt + (t^{l+k+1}+2t^{l+k})\,dt) \\
&= l(t^{k+l+1}+4t^{k+l})\,dt + (t^{l+k+1}+2t^{l+k})\,dt) \\
&= (l+1)t^{k+l+1}\,dt + (4l+2)t^{k+l}\,dt \\
&\equiv ((l+1)\delta_{k+l,-2} + (4l+2)\delta_{k+l,-1})\,t^{-1}\,dt \quad \operatorname{mod} \mathcal{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the corollary. □

Theorem 2.4. *The universal central extension of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{R}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra with generators e_n, e_n^1, f_n, f_n^1, h_n, h_n^1, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, ω_0, ω_1 and relations given by*

$$[x_m, x_n] := [x_m, x_n^1] = [x_m^1, x_n^1] = 0, \qquad \text{for } x = e, f \tag{2.9}$$

$$[h_m, h_n] := -2m\delta_{m,-n}\omega_0 = (n-m)\delta_{m,-n}\omega_0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_m^1, h_n^1] &:= 2\left((n+1)\delta_{m+n,-2} + (4n+2)\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\omega_0 \\
&= (n-m)\left(\delta_{m+n,-2} + 4\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\omega_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$[h_m, h_n^1] := -2m\delta_{m,-n}\omega_1, \tag{2.12}$$

$$[\omega_i, x_m] = [\omega_i, \omega_j] = 0, \qquad \text{for } x = e, f, h, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1\} \tag{2.13}$$

$$[e_m, f_n] = h_{m+n} - m\delta_{m,-n}\omega_0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$[e_m, f_n^1] = h_{m+n}^1 - m\delta_{m,-n}\omega_1 =: [e_m^1, f_n], \tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_m^1, f_n^1] &:= h_{m+n+2} + 4h_{m+n+1} + \left((n+1)\delta_{m+n,-2} + (4n+2)\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\omega_0 \\
&= h_{m+n+2} + 4h_{m+n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(n-m)\left(\delta_{m+n,-2} + 4\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\omega_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

$$[h_m, e_n] := 2e_{m+n}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$[h_m, e_n^1] := 2e_{m+n}^1 =: [h_m^1, e_m], \tag{2.18}$$

$$[h_m^1, e_n^1] := 2e_{m+n+2} + 8e_{m+n+1}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$[h_m, f_n] := -2f_{m+n}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$[h_m, f_n^1] := -2f_{m+n}^1 =: [h_m^1, f_m], \tag{2.21}$$

$$[h_m^1, f_n^1] := -2f_{m+n+2} - 8f_{m+n+1}, \tag{2.22}$$

for all $m, n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{f}$ denote the free Lie algebra with the generators e_n, e_n^1, f_n, f_n^1, h_n, h_n^1, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, ω_0, ω_1 and relations given above (2.9)- (2.22). The map $\phi:\mathfrak{f}\to(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{R})\oplus(\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}/d\mathcal{R})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(e_n) &: = e\otimes t^n, \quad & \phi(e_n^1) &= e\otimes ut^n, \\
\phi(f_n) &: = f\otimes t^n, \quad & \phi(f_n^1) &= f\otimes ut^n, \\
\phi(h_n) &: = h\otimes t^n, \quad & \phi(h_n^1) &= h\otimes ut^n, \\
\phi(\omega_0) &: = \overline{t^{-1}\,dt}, \quad & \phi(\omega_1) &= \overline{t^{-1}u\,dt},
\end{aligned}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ is a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism.

Consider the subalgebras $S_+ = \langle e_n, e_n^1 \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, $S_0 = \langle h_n, h_n^1, \omega_0, \omega_1 \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ and $S_- = \langle f_n, f_n^1 \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ and set $S = S_- + S_0 + S_+$. By (2.9) - (2.13) we have

$$S_+ = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}e_n + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}e_n^1, \quad S_- = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}f_n + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}f_n^1, \quad S_0 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}h_n + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}h_n^1 + \mathbb{C}\omega_0 + \mathbb{C}\omega_1$$

By (2.14)- (2.19) we see that

$$[e_n, S_+] = [e_n^1, S_+] = 0, \quad [h_n, S_+] \subseteq S_+, \quad [h_n^1, S_+] \subseteq S_+,, \quad [f_n, S_+] \subseteq S_0, \quad [f_n^1, S_+] \subseteq S_0.$$

and similarly $[x_n, S_-] = [x_n^1, S_-] \subseteq S$, $[x_n, S_0] = [x_n^1, S_0] \subseteq S$ for $x = e, f, h$. To sum it up we observe that $[x_n, S] \subseteq S$ and $[x_n^1, S] \subseteq S$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x = h, e, f$. Thus $[S, S] \subset S$. Now S contains the generators of $\mathfrak{f}$ and is a subalgebra. Hence $S = \mathfrak{f}$. Now it is clear that ϕ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. □

3. A TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION OF THE 3-POINT LOOP ALGEBRAS $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$

From now on we identify R_a with $\mathcal{S}$ and set $R = \mathcal{S}$ which has a basis t^i, t^iu, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $p : R \to R$ be the automorphism given by $p(t) = t$ and $p(u) = -u$. Then one can decompose $R = R^0 \oplus R^1$ where $R^0 = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] = \{r \in R \,|\, p(r) = r\}$ and $R^1 = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]u = \{r \in R \,|\, p(r) = -r\}$ are the eigenspaces of p. From now on $\mathfrak{g}$ will denote a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ and then the 3-*point loop algebra* $L(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ has a corresponding $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading: $L(\mathfrak{g})^i := \mathfrak{g} \otimes R^i$ for $i = 0, 1$. However the degree of t does not render $L(\mathfrak{g})$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra. This leads us to the following notion.

Suppose I is an additive subgroup of the rational numbers $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{A}_i$ and there exists a fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, with

$$\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j \subset \oplus_{|k-(i+j)|\le l}\mathcal{A}_k$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be an *l-quasi-graded algebra*. For $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{A}_i$ one says that x is *homogeneous of degree* i and one writes $\deg x = i$.

For example R has the structure of a 1-quasi-graded algebra where $I = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\deg t^i = i$, $\deg t^iu = i + \frac{1}{2}$.

A *weak triangular decomposition* of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is a triple $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{l}_+, \sigma)$ satisfying

1. $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_+$ are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{l}$,
2. $\mathfrak{H}$ is abelian and $[\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{l}_+] \subset \mathfrak{l}_+$,
3. σ is an anti-automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ of order 2 which is the identity on $\mathfrak{h}$ and
4. $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus \sigma(\mathfrak{l}_+)$.

We will let $\sigma(\mathfrak{l}_+)$ be denoted by $\mathfrak{l}_-$.

Theorem 3.1 ([5], Theorem 2.1)**.** *The* 3*-point loop algebra* $L(\mathfrak{g})$ *is* 1*-quasi-graded Lie algebra where* $\deg(x \otimes f) = \deg f$ *for f homogeneous. Set* $R_+ = \mathbb{C}(1 + u) \oplus \mathbb{C}[t, u]t$ *and* $R_- = p(R_+)$*. Then* $L(\mathfrak{g})$ *has a weak triangular decomposition given by*

$$L(\mathfrak{g})_\pm = \mathfrak{g} \otimes R_\pm, \quad \mathcal{H} := \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

3.1. **Formal Distributions.** We need some more notation that will simplify some of the arguments later. This notation follows roughly [19] and [27]: The *formal delta function* $\delta(z/w)$ is the formal distribution

$$\delta(z/w) = z^{-1}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-n}w^n = w^{-1}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n w^{-n}.$$

For any sequence of elements $\{a_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in the ring $\operatorname{End}(V)$, V a vector space, the formal distribution

$$a(z):=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}a_m z^{-m-1}$$

is called a *field*, if for any $v\in V$, $a_m v=0$ for $m\gg 0$. If $a(z)$ is a field, then we set

$$a(z)_-:=\sum_{m\geq 0}a_m z^{-m-1},\quad\text{and}\quad a(z)_+:=\sum_{m<0}a_m z^{-m-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

The *normal ordered product* of two distributions $a(z)$ and $b(w)$ (and their coefficients) is defined by

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}:a_m b_n:z^{-m-1}w^{-n-1}=:a(z)b(w):=a(z)_+b(w)+b(w)a(z)_-. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we should point out that while $:a^1(z_1)\cdots a^m(z_m):$ is always defined as a formal series, we will only define $:a(z)b(z)::=\lim_{w\to z}:a(z)b(w):$ for certain pairs $(a(z),b(w))$.

Then one defines recursively

$$:a^1(z_1)\cdots a^k(z_k):=:a^1(z_1)\left(:a^2(z_2)\left(:\cdots:a^{k-1}(z_{k-1})a^k(z_k):\right)\cdots:\right):,$$

while normal ordered product

$$:a^1(z)\cdots a^k(z):=\lim_{z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_k\to z}:a^1(z_1)\left(:a^2(z_2)\left(:\cdots:a^{k-1}(z_{k-1})a^k(z_k):\right)\cdots\right):$$

will only be defined for certain k-tuples $(a^1,\dots,a^k)$.

Let

$$\lfloor ab\rfloor=a(z)b(w)-:a(z)b(w):=[a(z)_-,b(w)], \tag{3.3}$$

(half of $[a(z),b(w)]$) denote the *contraction* of any two formal distributions $a(z)$ and $b(w)$.

Theorem 3.2 (Wick's Theorem, [2], [17] or [19])**.** *Let $a^i(z)$ and $b^j(z)$ be formal distributions with coefficients in the associative algebra* $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}])$*, satisfying*

1. $[\lfloor a^i(z)b^j(w)\rfloor,c^k(x)_\pm]=[\lfloor a^ib^j\rfloor,c^k(x)_\pm]=0$*, for all i,j,k and $c^k(x)=a^k(z)$ or $c^k(x)=b^k(w)$.*
2. $[a^i(z)_\pm,b^j(w)_\pm]=0$ *for all i and j.*
3. *The products*

$$\lfloor a^{i_1}b^{j_1}\rfloor\cdots\lfloor a^{i_s}b^{i_s}\rfloor:a^1(z)\cdots a^M(z)b^1(w)\cdots b^N(w):_{(i_1,\dots,i_s;j_1,\dots,j_s)}$$

have coefficients in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}])$ *for all subsets $\{i_1,\dots,i_s\}\subset\{1,\dots,M\}$, $\{j_1,\dots,j_s\}\subset\{1,\cdots N\}$. Here the subscript $(i_1,\dots,i_s;j_1,\dots,j_s)$ means that those factors $a^i(z)$, $b^j(w)$ with indices $i\in\{i_1,\dots,i_s\}$, $j\in\{j_1,\dots,j_s\}$ are to be omitted from the product $:a^1\cdots a^Mb^1\cdots b^N:$ and when $s=0$ we do not omit any factors.*

Then

$$:a^1(z)\cdots a^M(z)::b^1(w)\cdots b^N(w):=$$
$$\sum_{s=0}^{\min(M,N)}\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_s,\,j_1\neq\cdots\neq j_s}\lfloor a^{i_1}b^{j_1}\rfloor\cdots\lfloor a^{i_s}b^{j_s}\rfloor:a^1(z)\cdots a^M(z)b^1(w)\cdots b^N(w):_{(i_1,\dots,i_s;j_1,\dots,j_s)}.$$

For $m=i-\frac{1}{2}$, $i\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$ and $x\in\mathfrak{g}$, define $x_{m+\frac{1}{2}}=x\otimes t^{i-\frac{1}{2}}u=x^1_m$ and $x_m:=x\otimes t^m$. Motivated by conformal field theory we set

$$x^1(z):=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}x_{m+\frac{1}{2}}z^{-m-1},\qquad x(z):=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}x_m z^{-m-1}.$$

Then the relations in Theorem 2.4 can be rewritten as

$$[x(z),y(w)]=[xy](w)\delta(z/w)-(x,y)\omega_0\partial_w\delta(z/w), \tag{3.4}$$

$$[x^1(z),y^1(w)]=P\left([x,y](w)\delta(z/w)-(x,y)\omega_0\partial_w\delta(z/w)\right)-\frac{1}{2}(x,y)(\partial P)\omega_0\delta(z/w), \tag{3.5}$$

$$[x(z),y^1(w)]=[x,y]^1(w)\delta(z/w)-(x,y)\omega_1\partial_w\delta(z/w)=[x^1(z),y(w)], \tag{3.6}$$

where $x,y\in\{e,f,h\}$.

4. Oscillator algebras

4.1. **The $\beta-\gamma$ system.** The following construction in the physics literature is often called the $\beta-\gamma$ system which corresponds to our a and a^* below. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the infinite dimensional oscillator algebra with generators a_n,a_n^*,a_n^1,a_n^{1*}, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ together with $\mathbf{1}$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[a_n,a_m]=[a_m,a_n^1]=[a_m,a_n^{1*}]=[a_n^*,a_m^*]=[a_n^*,a_m^1]=[a_n^*,a_m^{1*}]=0,\\
&[a_n^1,a_m^1]=[a_n^{1*},a_m^{1*}]=0=[\mathfrak{a},\mathbf{1}],\\
&[a_n,a_m^*]=\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}=[a_n^1,a_m^{1*}].
\end{aligned}$$

For $c=a,a^1$ and respectively $X=x,x^1$ with $r=0$ or $r=1$, we define $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]:=\mathbb{C}[x_n,x_n^1\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho:\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\to\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}])$ by

$$\rho_r(c_m):=\begin{cases}\partial/\partial X_m & \text{if} \quad m\geq 0, \text{ and } r=0\\ X_m & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\rho_r(c_m^*):=\begin{cases}X_{-m} & \text{if} \quad m\leq 0, \text{ and } r=0\\ -\partial/\partial X_{-m} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

and $\rho_r(\mathbf{1})=1$. These two representations can be constructed using induction: For $r=0$ the representation ρ_0 is the $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-module generated by $1=:|0\rangle$, where

$$a_m|0\rangle=a_m^1|0\rangle=0,\quad m\geq 0,\quad a_m^*|0\rangle=a_m^{1*}|0\rangle=0,\quad m>0.$$

For $r=1$ the representation ρ_1 is the $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-module generated by $1=:|0\rangle$, where

$$a_m^*|0\rangle=a_m^{1*}|0\rangle=0,\quad m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

If we write

$$\alpha(z):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_nz^{-n-1},\quad \alpha^*(z):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n^*z^{-n},$$

and

$$\alpha^1(z):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n^1z^{-n-1},\quad \alpha^{1*}(z):=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n^{1*}z^{-n},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha(z),\alpha(w)]=[\alpha^*(z),\alpha^*(w)]=[\alpha^1(z),\alpha^1(w)]=[\alpha^{1*}(z),\alpha^{1*}(w)]=0\\
&[\alpha(z),\alpha^*(w)]=[\alpha^1(z),\alpha^{1*}(w)]=\mathbf{1}\delta(z/w).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\rho_1(\alpha(z))$ and $\rho_1(\alpha^1(z))$ are not fields whereas $\rho_r(\alpha^*(z))$ $\rho_r(\alpha^{1*}(z))$ are always a field. Corresponding to these two representations there are two possible normal orderings: For $r=0$ we use the usual normal ordering given by (3.1) and for $r=1$ we define the *natural normal ordering* to be

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(z)_+ &= \alpha(z), & \alpha(z)_- &= 0 \\ \alpha^1(z)_+ &= \alpha^1(z), & \alpha^1(z)_- &= 0 \\ \alpha^*(z)_+ &= 0, & \alpha^*(z)_- &= \alpha^*(z), \\ \alpha^{1*}(z)_+ &= 0, & \alpha^{1*}(z)_- &= \alpha^{1*}(z), \end{aligned}$$

This means in particular that for $r=0$ we get

$$\lfloor \alpha(z)\alpha^*(w)\rfloor = \sum_{m\geq 0}\delta_{m+n,0}z^{-m-1}w^{-n} = \delta_-(z/w) = \iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{z-w}\right) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lfloor \alpha^*(z)\alpha(w)\rfloor = -\sum_{m\geq 1}\delta_{m+n,0}z^{-m}w^{-n-1} = -\delta_+(w/z) = \iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{w-z}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

(where $\iota_{z,w}$ Taylor series expansion in the " region" $|z|>|w|$), and for $r=1$

$$\lfloor \alpha\alpha^*\rfloor = [\alpha(z)_-,\alpha^*(w)] = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

$$\lfloor \alpha^*\alpha\rfloor = [\alpha^*(z)_-,\alpha(w)] = -\sum_{\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_{m+n,0}z^{-m}w^{-n-1} = -\delta(w/z), \tag{4.6}$$

where similar results hold for α^1. Notice that in both cases we have

$$[\alpha(z),\alpha^*(w)] = \lfloor \alpha(z)\alpha^*(w)\rfloor - \lfloor \alpha^*(w)\alpha(z)\rfloor = \delta(z/w).$$

We will also need the following two results.

Theorem 4.1 (Taylor's Theorem, [19], 2.4.3)**.** *Let $a(z)$ be a formal distribution. Then in the region $|z-w|<|w|$,*

$$a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\partial_w^{(j)}a(w)(z-w)^j. \tag{4.7}$$

Theorem 4.2 ([19], Theorem 2.3.2)**.** *Set $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{C}[x_n, x_n^1|n\in\mathbb{Z}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}] = C[y_m, y_m^1|m\in\mathbb{N}^*]$. Let $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be formal distributions with coefficients in the associative algebra* End() *where we are using the usual normal ordering. The following are equivalent*

(i) $[a(z),b(w)] = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\partial_w^{(j)}\delta(z-w)c^j(w)$, *where* $c^j(w)\in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}])[\![w,w^{-1}]\!]$.

(ii) $\lfloor ab\rfloor = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^{j+1}}\right)c^j(w)$.

In other words the singular part of the *operator product expansion*

$$\lfloor ab\rfloor = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^{j+1}}\right)c^j(w)$$

completely determines the bracket of mutually local formal distributions $a(z)$ and $b(w)$. One writes

$$a(z)b(w)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\frac{c^j(w)}{(z-w)^{j+1}}.$$

4.2. **The 3-point Heisenberg algebra.** The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ tensored with $\mathcal{R}$ generates a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is an extension of an oscillator algebra. This extension motivates the following definition: The Lie algebra with generators b_m, b^1_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{1}_0, \mathbf{1}_1$, and relations

$$[b_m, b_n] = (n-m)\,\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}_0 = -2m\,\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}_0 \tag{4.8}$$

$$[b^1_m, b^1_n] = (n-m)\left(\delta_{m+n,-2} + 4\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\mathbf{1}_0 = 2\left((n+1)\delta_{m+n,-2} + (4n+2)\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\mathbf{1}_0 \tag{4.9}$$

$$[b^1_m, b_n] = (n-m)\delta_{m,-n}\mathbf{1}_1 = -2m\delta_{m,-n}\mathbf{1}_1 \tag{4.10}$$

$$[b_m, \mathbf{1}_0] = [b^1_m, \mathbf{1}_0] = [b_m, \mathbf{1}_1] = [b^1_m, \mathbf{1}_1] = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

we will give the appellation the 3-*point (affine) Heisenberg algebra* and denote it by $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3$.

If we introduce the formal distributions

$$\beta(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} b_n z^{-n-1}, \quad \beta^1(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} b^1_n z^{-n-1} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} b_{n+\frac{1}{2}} z^{-n-1}. \tag{4.12}$$

(where $b_{n+\frac{1}{2}} := b^1_n$) then using calculations done earlier for the 3-point Lie algebra we can see that the relations above can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
[\beta(z), \beta(w)] &= 2\mathbf{1}_0 \partial_z \delta(z/w) = -2\partial_w \delta(z/w)\mathbf{1}_0 \\
[\beta^1(z), \beta^1(w)] &= -2\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w(\delta(z/w) + (2+w)\delta(z/w)\right)\mathbf{1}_0 \\
[\beta^1(z), \beta(w)] &= 2\partial_z \delta(z/w)\mathbf{1}_1 = -2\partial_w \delta(z/w)\mathbf{1}_1
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3^{\pm} : = \sum_{n \gtrless 0} \left(\mathbb{C}b_n + \mathbb{C}b^1_n\right), \quad \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3^0 := \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}b_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}b^1_0.$$

We introduce a Borel type subalgebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_3 = \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3^+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3^0.$$

Due to the defining relations above one can see that $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_3$ is a subalgebra.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}_1$ be a two dimensional representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_3^+\mathbf{v}_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Suppose $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \varkappa, \chi_1, \kappa_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0\mathbf{v}_0 &= \lambda\mathbf{v}_0, & b_0\mathbf{v}_1 &= \lambda\mathbf{v}_1 \\
b^1_0\mathbf{v}_0 &= \mu\mathbf{v}_0 + \nu\mathbf{v}_1, & b^1_0\mathbf{v}_1 &= \varkappa\mathbf{v}_0 + \mu\mathbf{v}_1 \\
\mathbf{1}_1\mathbf{v}_i &= \chi_1\mathbf{v}_i, & \mathbf{1}_0\mathbf{v}_i &= \kappa_0\mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 0, 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the above defines a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_3$.

Proof. Since b_m acts by scalar multiplication for $m, n \geq 0$, the first defining relation (4.8) is satisfied for $m, n \geq 0$. The second relation (4.9) is also satisfied as the right hand side is zero if $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$. If $n = 0$, then since b_0 acts by a scalar, the relation (4.10) leads to no condition on $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \varkappa, \chi_1, \kappa_0 \in \mathfrak{h}_4^0$. If $m \geq 0$ and $n > 0$, the third relation doesn't give us a condition on χ_1 as

$$0 = b^1_m b_n \mathbf{v}_i - b_n b^1_m \mathbf{v}_i = [b^1_m, b_n]\mathbf{v}_i = -2\delta_{m,-n} m \chi_1 \mathbf{v}_i = 0.$$

If $m = n = 0$, the third relation however becomes

$$0 = \lambda b^1_0 \mathbf{v}_i - b^1_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}_i = b^1_0 b_0 \mathbf{v}_i - b_0 b^1_0 \mathbf{v}_i = [b^1_0, b_0]\mathbf{v}_i = -2 \cdot 0 \chi_1 \mathbf{v}_i = 0,$$

so that there is no condition on χ_1.

□

Let B_0^1 denote the linear transformation on $\mathcal{V}$ that agrees with the action of b_0^1. If we define the notion of a $\widehat{\mathfrak{b}}_3$-submodule as is done in [32], Definition 1.2, then $\mathcal{V}$ above is an irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{b}}_3$-module when $\varkappa\nu \neq 0$ i.e. if $\det B_0^1 \neq \mu^2$. If one induces up from $\mathcal{V}$, the resulting representation for the three point affine algebra would not have a chance of being irreducible if $\mathcal{V}$ were not irreducible (in the sense of Sheinman) itself.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}] := \mathbb{C}[y_{-n}, y^1_{-m} | m,n \in \mathbb{N}^*]$.

Lemma 4.4. *The linear map $\rho : \hat{\mathfrak{b}}_3 \to End(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}] :)$ defined by*

$$\rho(b_n) = y_n \quad \text{for } n<0 \tag{4.13}$$

$$\rho(b^1_n) = y^1_n \quad \text{for } n<0 \tag{4.14}$$

$$\rho(b_n) = -n(2\partial_{y_{-n}}\kappa_0 + 2\partial_{y^1_{-n}}\chi_1) \quad \text{for } n>0 \tag{4.15}$$

$$\rho(b^1_n) = -2n\partial_{y_{-n}}\chi_1 - (2+2n)\partial_{y^1_{-2-n}}\kappa_0 - 4(1+2n)\partial_{y^1_{-1-n}}\kappa_0 \quad \text{for } n>0 \tag{4.16}$$

is a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_3$.

Proof. For $m,n>0$, it is straight forward to see $[\rho(b_n),\rho(b_m)] = [\rho(b^1_n),\rho(b^1_m)] = 0$, and similarly for $m,n<0$, $[\rho(b_n),\rho(b_m)] = [\rho(b^1_n),\rho(b^1_m)] = 0$. For $m>0$ and $n \leq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho(b_m),\rho(b_n)] &= [-m(2\partial_{y_{-m}}\kappa_0 + 2\partial_{y^1_{-m}}\chi_1), y_n] \\
&= -2m\delta_{m,-n}\kappa_0 \\
&= [-n(2\partial_{y_{-n}}\kappa_0 + 2\partial_{y^1_{-n}}\chi_1), y_m],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho(b_m),\rho(b^1_n)] &= [-m(2\partial_{y_{-m}}\kappa_0 + 2\partial_{y^1_{-m}}\chi_1), y^1_n] \\
&= -2m\delta_{m,-n}\chi_1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho(b^1_m),\rho(b^1_n)] &= [-2m\partial_{y_{-m}}\chi_1 - (2+2m)\partial_{y^1_{-2-m}}\kappa_0 - 4(1+2m)\partial_{y^1_{-1-m}}\kappa_0, y^1_n] \\
&= -(2+2m)\delta_{m+2,-n}\kappa_0 - 4(1+2m)\delta_{m+1,-n}\kappa_0 \\
&= -2\left((m+1)\delta_{m+n,-2} + (4m+2)\delta_{m+n,-1}\right)\kappa_0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho(b^1_m),\rho(b_n)] &= [-2m\partial_{y_{-m}}\chi_1 - (2+2m)\partial_{y^1_{-2-m}}\kappa_0 - 4(1+2m)\partial_{y^1_{-1-m}}\kappa_0, y_n] \\
&= -2m\delta_{m,-n}\chi_1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. TWO REALIZATIONS OF THE AFFINE 3-POINT ALGEBRA $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$

Our main result is the following

Theorem 5.1. *Fix $r \in \{0,1\}$, which then fixes the corresponding normal ordering convention defined in the previous section. Set $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega_1$ and assume that $\chi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ as in Lemma 4.3. Then using (4.1), (4.2) and Lemma 4.4, the following defines a representation of the* *three point algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *on* $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]\otimes\mathcal{V}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\omega_1)&=0, \qquad \tau(\omega_0)=\chi_0=\kappa_0+4\delta_{r,0},\\
\tau(f(z))&=-\alpha, \qquad \tau(f^1(z))=-\alpha^1,\\
\tau(h(z))&=2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*:+:\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta,\\
\tau(h^1(z))&=2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*:+(z^2+4z):\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta^1,\\
\tau(e(z))&=:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:+(z^2+4z):\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2:+2:\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:+\beta\alpha^*+\beta^1\alpha^{1*}+\chi_0\partial\alpha^*\\
\tau(e^1(z))&=\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^*+(z^2+4z)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2+2:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:\right)\\
&\quad+\beta^1\alpha^*+(z^2+4z)\beta\alpha^{1*}+\chi_0\left((z^2+4z)\partial_z\alpha^{1*}+(z+2)\alpha^{1*}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Before we go through the proof it will be fruitful to review V. Kac's λ-notation (see [19] section 2.2 and [37] for some of its properties) used in operator product expansions. If $a(z)$ and $b(w)$ are formal distributions, then

$$[a(z),b(w)]=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_{(j)}b)(w)}{(z-w)^{j+1}}$$

is transformed under the *formal Fourier transform*

$$F^{\lambda}_{z,w}a(z,w)=\mathrm{Res}_z e^{\lambda(z-w)}a(z,w),$$

into the sum

$$[a_\lambda b]=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}a_{(j)}b.$$

Set

$$P(w)=w^2+4w.$$

So for example we have the following

Lemma 5.2. *Given the definitions in the previous section, we have*

1. $[\beta^1_\lambda\beta^1]=-\left(2(w^2+4w)\lambda+(2w+4)\right)\kappa_0=-\left(2P\lambda+\partial P\right)\kappa_0$
2. $[:\alpha\alpha^*:{}_\lambda:\alpha\alpha^*:]=-\delta_{r,0}\partial\delta(z/w)$
3. $[:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:{}_\lambda:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:]=-4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*\partial\alpha^*:-4\delta_{r,0}:(\alpha^*)^2:\lambda.$

Note that similar expressions hold for α^1 and α^{1*}.

Proof. We'll prove (2) and (3). By Wick's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(z)::\alpha(w)\alpha^*(w):&=:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(z)\alpha(w)\alpha^*(w):+\lfloor\alpha(z),\alpha^*(w)\rfloor:\alpha(w)\alpha^*(z):+\lfloor\alpha^*(z),\alpha(w)\rfloor:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(w):\\
&\quad+\lfloor\alpha(z),\alpha^*(w)\rfloor\lfloor\alpha^*(z),\alpha(w)\rfloor\\
&=:\alpha(z)\alpha(w)\alpha^*(z)\alpha^*(w):+:\alpha(w)\alpha^*(z):\iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{z-w}\right)+:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(w):\iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{w-z}\right)\\
&\quad-\delta_{r,0}\iota_{z,w}\left(\frac{1}{z-w}\right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(z)^2:,:\alpha(w)\alpha^*(w)^2:] &= 2:\alpha(z)\alpha^*(z)\alpha^*(w)^2:\delta(z/w) - 2:\alpha(w)\alpha^*(z)^2\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w) \\
&\quad - 4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*(z)\alpha^*(w):\partial_w\delta(z/w) \\
&= -4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*(z)\partial_w\left(\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w)\right) + 4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*(z)\partial_w\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w) \\
&= -4\delta_{r,0}:\partial_w\left(\alpha^*(w)\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w)\right) + 4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*(w)\partial_w\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w) \\
&= -4\delta_{r,0}:\partial_w\alpha^*(w)\alpha^*(w):\delta(z/w) - 4\delta_{r,0}:\alpha^*(w)\alpha^*(w):\partial_w\delta(z/w).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. (Theorem 5.1) We have need to check the following table is preserved under τ.

TABLE 1.

$[\cdot_\lambda\cdot]$	$f(w)$	$f^1(w)$	$h(w)$	$h^1(w)$	$e(w)$	$e^1(w)$
$f(z)$	0	0	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$
$f^1(z)$		0	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$
$h(z)$			$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$
$h^1(z)$				$*$	$*$	$*$
$e(z)$					0	0
$e^1(z)$						0

Here $*$ indicates nonzero formal distributions that are obtained from the the defining relations (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6). The proof is carried out using Wick's Theorem, Taylor's Theorem, and Lemma 5.2 as one can see below:

$$[\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(f)] = 0, \quad [\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(f^1)] = 0,$$

$$[\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(h)] = -\Big[\alpha_\lambda \Big(2\left(\alpha\alpha^* + \alpha^1\alpha^{1*}\right) + \beta\Big)\Big] = -2\alpha = 2\tau(f),$$

$$[\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(h^1)] = -[\alpha_\lambda \left(2\left(\alpha^1\alpha^* + P\alpha\alpha^{1*}\right) + \beta^1\right)] = -2\alpha^1 = 2\tau(f^1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(e)] &= -[\alpha_\lambda \left(:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2: + P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 2:\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: + \beta\alpha^* + \beta^1\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\partial\alpha^*\right)] \\ &= -2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right) - \beta - \chi_0\lambda = -\tau(h) - \chi_0\lambda\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(f)_\lambda \tau(e^1)] &= -\Big[\alpha_\lambda \Big(\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 + P\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:\right) \ + \beta^1\alpha^* + P\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left(P\partial\alpha^{1*} + \frac{1}{2}\partial P\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\ &= -2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*: + P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right) - \beta^1 = -\tau(h^1).\end{aligned}$$

$$[\tau(f^1)_\lambda \tau(f^1)] = 0$$

$$[\tau(f^1)_\lambda \tau(h)] = -[\alpha^1_\lambda \left(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right) + \beta\right)] = -2\alpha^1 = 2\tau(f^1),$$

$$[\tau(f^1)_\lambda \tau(h^1)] = -[\alpha^1_\lambda \left(2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*: + P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right) + \beta^1\right)] = -2P\alpha^1 = 2P\tau(f^1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(f^1)_\lambda \tau(e)] &= -[\alpha^1_\lambda \left(:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2: + P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 2:\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: + \beta\alpha^* + \beta^1\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\partial\alpha^*\right)] \\ &= -\Big(2P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}: + 2:\alpha^1\alpha^*: + \beta^1\Big) = -\tau(h^1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(f^1)_\lambda \tau(e^1)] &= -[\alpha^1_\lambda \Big(\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 + P\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:\right) \ + \beta^1\alpha^* + P\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left(P\partial\alpha^{1*} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial P)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)] \\ &= -\Big(P\left(2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}: + :\alpha\alpha^*:\right) + \beta + \chi_0\lambda\right) + \frac{1}{2}\chi_0\partial P\Big) \\ &= -\left(P\tau(h) + P\chi_0\lambda + \chi_0\frac{1}{2}\partial P\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $:a(z)b(z):$ and $:b(z)a(z):$ are usually not equal, but $:\alpha^1(w)\alpha^{*1}(w):=:\alpha^{1*}(w)\alpha^1(w):$ and $:\alpha(w)\alpha^*(w):=:\alpha^*(w)\alpha(w):$. Thus we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(h)_\lambda \tau(h)] &= \left(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right) + \beta\right)_\lambda \left(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right) + \beta\right)] \\ &= 4\Big(-:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^*\alpha: - :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}: + :\alpha^{1*}\alpha^1:\Big) - 8\delta_{r,0}\lambda + [\beta_\lambda\beta] \\ &= -2(4\delta_{r,0} + \kappa_0)\lambda\end{aligned}$$

Which can be put into the form of (3.4):

$$[\tau(h(z)),\tau(h(w))]=-2(4\delta_{r,0}+\kappa_0)\partial_w\delta(z/w)=-2\chi_0\partial_w\delta(z/w)=\tau\left(-2\omega_0\partial_w\delta(z/w)\right).$$

Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(h)_\lambda\tau(h^1)]&=\left[\left(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*:+:\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta\right)_\lambda\left(2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*:+P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta^1\right)\right]\\&=4\Big(\left(:\alpha^*\alpha^1:-:\alpha^1\alpha^*:\right)+P\left(-:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:+:\alpha^{1*}\alpha:\right)\Big)+[\beta_\lambda\beta^1]\end{aligned}$$

Since $[a_n,a^{1*}_m]=[a^1_n,a^*_m]=0$, we have

$$\left[\tau(h(z)),\tau(h^1(w))\right]=[\beta(z),\beta^1(w)]=0.$$

As $\tau(\omega_1)=0$, relation (3.6) is satisfied.

We continue with

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(h^1)_\lambda\tau(h^1)]&=\left[2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*:+P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta^1\right)_\lambda\left(2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*:+P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta^1\right)\right]\\&=4P\left(-:\alpha\alpha^*:+:\alpha^{1*}\alpha^1:\right)+4P\left(-:\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:+:\alpha^*\alpha:\right)\\&\quad-8\delta_{r,0}P\lambda-4\delta_{r,0}\partial P+[\beta^1_\lambda\beta^1]\\&=-8\delta_{r,0}P\lambda-4\delta_{r,0}\partial P-2\kappa_0(P\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\partial P).\end{aligned}$$

Yielding the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\tau(h^1(z)),\tau(h^1(w))\right]&=-2(4\delta_{r,0}+\kappa_0)\left(\left(w^2+4w\right)\partial_w\delta(z/w)+(w+2))\delta(z/w)\right)\\&=\tau(-(h,h)\omega_0P\partial_w\delta(z/w)-\frac{1}{2}(h,h)\partial P\omega_0\delta(z/w))\end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate the h's paired with the e's:

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau(h)_\lambda\tau(e)]=&\Big[\Big(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*:+:\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta\Big)_\lambda\\&\quad:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:+P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2:+2:\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:+\beta\alpha^*+\beta^1\alpha^{1*}+\chi_0\partial\alpha^*\Big]\\&=4:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:-2:\alpha(\alpha^*)^2:-4\delta_{r,0}\alpha^*\lambda\\&-2P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2:+4:\alpha^*\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\\&+2\alpha^*\beta+2\chi_0\alpha^*\lambda+2\chi_0\partial\alpha^*\\&+4P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2:-4\delta_{r,0}\alpha^*\lambda\\&+2\beta^1\alpha^{1*}-2\lambda\alpha^*\kappa_0\\&=2\tau(e)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tau(h^1)_\lambda\tau(e)] &= \left[\left(2\left(:\alpha^1\alpha^*: + P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta^1\right)_\lambda :\alpha(\alpha^*)^2: + P:\alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 2:\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: + \beta\alpha^* + \beta^1\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\partial\alpha^*\right] \\
&= -2:\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 + 2P(2:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: - :\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2: -2\delta_{r,0}\alpha^{1*}\lambda) - 4\delta_{r,0}\partial P\alpha^{1*} \\
&+ 4:\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2: + 2\alpha^*\beta^1 \\
&+ 4P:\alpha\alpha^{1*}\alpha^* + 4P(:\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2: - :\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: - \delta_{r,0}\alpha^{1*}\lambda) \\
&+ 2P\beta\alpha^{1*} + 2\chi_0(P\partial\alpha^{1*} + \partial P\alpha^{1*} + P\alpha^{1*}\lambda) \\
&- 2(P\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\partial P)\alpha^{1*}\kappa_0 \\
&= 2:\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2: + 2P:\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 4P:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: + 2\delta(z/w)\alpha^*\beta^1 + 2P\beta\alpha^{1*} \\
&+ 2P\chi_0\partial\alpha^{1*} + \partial P\alpha^{1*}\chi_0 \\
&= 2\tau(e^1)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we must calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tau(h)_\lambda\tau(e^1)] &= \Big[\Big(2\left(:\alpha\alpha^*: + :\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}:\right)+\beta\Big)_\lambda \\
&\qquad :\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^*: + P\left(:\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 2:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}:\right) \\
&\qquad + \beta^1\alpha^* + P\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left(P\partial\alpha^{1*} + (1/2\partial P)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big] \\
&= 4:\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2: - 4P\delta_{r,0}\alpha^{1*}\lambda \\
&+ 2\alpha^*\beta^1 - 2\delta(z/w):\alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^*: \\
&- 4P\delta_{r,0}\alpha^{1*}\lambda + 2P:\alpha^{1*}\alpha^1\alpha^{1*}: \\
&+ 4P:\alpha^{1*}\alpha\alpha^*: + 2P\beta\alpha^{1*} \\
&+ 2\chi_0(P\alpha^{1*}\lambda + P\partial\alpha^{1*} + \frac{1}{2}\partial P\alpha^{1*}) - 2P\alpha^{1*}\kappa_0\lambda \\
&= 2:\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2: + 2P:\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2: + 4P:\alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}: \\
&+ 2\beta^1\alpha^* + 2P\beta\alpha^{1*} + 2\chi_0\left(P\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (1/2\partial P)\alpha^{1*}\right) \\
&= 2\tau(e^1)
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof for $[\tau(h^1)_\lambda\tau(e^1)]$ is similar.

We prove the Serre relation for just one of the relations, $[\tau(e)_\lambda\tau(e^1)]$, and the proof of the others ($[\tau(e)_\lambda\tau(e)]$, $[\tau(e^1)_\lambda\tau(e^1)]$) are similar as the reader can verify.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\tau(e)_\lambda \tau(e^1)] = \Big[&: \alpha(\alpha^*)^2 :_\lambda \Big(\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ \Big[&P : \alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2 :_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ \Big[&2 : \alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ \Big[&\beta\alpha^*{}_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ \Big[&\beta^1\alpha^{1*}{}_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ \Big[&\chi_0\partial\alpha^*{}_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + (w^2+4w)\left(\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) \\
&+ \beta^1\alpha^* + (w^2+4w)\beta\alpha^{1*} + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= &\Big[: \alpha(\alpha^*)^2 :_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + 2P : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : + \beta^1\alpha^*\Big)\Big] \\
+ &\Big[P : \alpha(\alpha^{1*})^2 :_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + P\left(: \alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 : + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) + \beta^1\alpha^*\Big)\Big] \\
+ &\Big[2 : \alpha^1\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :_\lambda \Big(\alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 + P\left(: \alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 : + 2 : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :\right) + P\beta\alpha^{1*} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \chi_0\left((w^2+4w)\partial_w\alpha^{1*} + (w+2)\alpha^{1*}\right)\Big)\Big] \\
+ &\Big[\beta\alpha^*{}_\lambda \Big(2P : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : + \beta^1\alpha^* + P\beta\alpha^{1*}\Big)\Big] \\
+ &\Big[\beta^1\alpha^{1*}{}_\lambda \Big(: \alpha^1(\alpha^*)^2 : + P\alpha^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 + \beta^1\alpha^* + P\beta\alpha^{1*}\Big)\Big] \\
+ &\Big[\chi_0\partial\alpha^*{}_\lambda \Big(2P : \alpha\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 2 : \alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^*)^2 : +2P : \alpha(\alpha^*)^2\alpha^{1*} : -4P : \alpha(\alpha^*)^2\alpha^{1*} : -4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial(\alpha^*)\alpha^{1*} : \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \beta^1(\alpha^*)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 2P : \alpha(\alpha^*)^2\alpha^{1*} : +2P\alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^{1*})^2 \\
&- 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}\partial P : \alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : -4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial\alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : \\
&- 2P^2 : \alpha(\alpha^{1*})^3 : +2P^2 : \alpha(\alpha^{1*})^3 : +P\beta^1(\alpha^{1*})^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 2 : \alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^*)^2 : +4P : \alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^{1*})^2 : -2P : \alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^{1*})^2 : -4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial(\alpha^*)\alpha^{1*} : \\
&+ 4P : \alpha(\alpha^*)^2\alpha^{1*} : -4P : \alpha^1\alpha^*(\alpha^{1*})^2 : \\
&- 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} : +2P\beta : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} :
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+ 2\chi_0\Big(P : \partial\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : +P : \alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} : +P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\partial P) : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \Big)
$$

$$
- 2P\beta\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} - 2\kappa_0 P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda - 2\kappa_0 P\partial\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \beta^1(\alpha^*)^2 - P\beta^1(\alpha^{1*})^2 - \kappa_0\left(2P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda + 2P\alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} + \partial P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\right) \\
&+ 2\chi_0 P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial(\alpha^*)\alpha^{1*} : \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \chi_1\left(2 : \alpha^*\partial\alpha^* : + : (\alpha^*)^2 : \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}\partial P : \alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : -4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial\alpha^{1*}\alpha^* : \\
&- 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \partial(\alpha^*)\alpha^{1*} : \\
&- 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda - 4\delta_{r,0}P : \alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} :
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+ 2\chi_0\Big(P : \partial\alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : +P : \alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} : +P : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\partial P) : \alpha^*\alpha^{1*} : \Big)
$$

$$
- \kappa_0 P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda - \kappa_0 P\partial\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \kappa_0\left(2P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda + 2P\alpha^*\partial\alpha^{1*} + \partial P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\right) \\
&- 2\chi_0 P\alpha^*\alpha^{1*}\lambda \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

6. Further Comments

We plan to use the above construction to help elucidate the structure of these representations of a three point algebra, describe the space of their intertwining operators and eventually describe the center of a certain completion of the universal enveloping algebra for the three point algebra.

References

1. Georgia Benkart and Paul Terwilliger, *The universal central extension of the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 6, 1659–1668 (electronic). MR 2286073 (2007m:17031)
2. N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Quantum fields*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1983, Translated from the Russian by D. B. Pontecorvo. MR 85g:81096
3. Murray Bremner, *Generalized affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras over localizations of the polynomial ring in one variable*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37** (1994), no. 1, 21–28. MR MR1261553 (95d:17025)
4. ———, *Universal central extensions of elliptic affine Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), no. 12, 6685–6692. MR MR1303073 (95i:17024)
5. ———, *Four-point affine Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 7, 1981–1989. MR MR1249871 (95i:17025)
6. André Bueno, Ben Cox, and Vyacheslav Futorny, *Free field realizations of the elliptic affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbf{R})\oplus(\Omega_R/d\mathrm{R})$*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), no. 9, 1258–1270. MR 2541818
7. Ben Cox, *Realizations of the four point affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,R)\oplus(\Omega_R/dR)$*, Pacific J. Math. **234** (2008), no. 2, 261–289. MR 2373448 (2008k:17030)
8. Ben L. Cox and Vyacheslav Futorny, *Structure of intermediate Wakimoto modules*, J. Algebra **306** (2006), no. 2, 682–702. MR 2271362 (2007j:17041)
9. Pavel I. Etingof, Igor B. Frenkel, and Alexander A. Kirillov, Jr., *Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 58, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR 1629472 (2001b:32028)
10. Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel, *Integrable hierarchies and Wakimoto modules*, Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 194, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 27–60. MR 1729358 (2002a:17022)
11. Boris L. Feĭgin and Edward V. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **128** (1990), no. 1, 161–189. MR 92f:17026
12. Alice Fialowski and Martin Schlichenmaier, *Global geometric deformations of current algebras as Krichever-Novikov type algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005), no. 3, 579–612. MR MR2183958 (2006k:17039)
13. ———, *Global geometric deformations of the virasoro algebra, current and affine algebras by krichever-novikov type algebra*, math.QA/0610851 (2006).
14. Edward Frenkel, *Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 297–404. MR 2146349 (2006d:17018)
15. ———, *Langlands correspondence for loop groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 103, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. MR 2332156 (2008h:22017)
16. Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR 1 849 359
17. Kerson Huang, *Quantum field theory*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1998, From operators to path integrals. MR 99m:81001
18. H. P. Jakobsen and V. G. Kac, *A new class of unitarizable highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Nonlinear equations in classical and quantum field theory (Meudon/Paris, 1983/1984), Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 1–20. MR 87g:17020
19. Victor Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, second ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR 99f:17033
20. C. Kassel and J.-L. Loday, *Extensions centrales d'algèbres de Lie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 4, 119–142 (1983). MR MR694130 (85g:17004)
21. Christian Kassel, *Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative algebra*, Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983), vol. 34, 1984, pp. 265–275. MR 772062 (86h:17013)
22. D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. I, II*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 4, 905–947, 949–1011. MR MR1186962 (93m:17014)

23. David Kazhdan and George Lusztig, *Affine Lie algebras and quantum groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1991), no. 2, 21–29. MR MR1104840 (92g:17015)
24. Igor Moiseevich Krichever and S. P. Novikov, *Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **21** (1987), no. 4, 47–61, 96. MR 925072 (89f:17020)
25. ———, *Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and the structures of soliton theory*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **21** (1987), no. 2, 46–63. MR MR902293 (88i:17016)
26. ———, *Algebras of Virasoro type, the energy-momentum tensor, and operator expansions on Riemann surfaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **23** (1989), no. 1, 24–40. MR 998426 (90k:17049)
27. Atsushi Matsuo and Kiyokazu Nagatomo, *Axioms for a vertex algebra and the locality of quantum fields*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 4, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1999. MR 2000k:17036
28. V. V. Schechtman and A. N. Varchenko, *Hypergeometric solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **20** (1990), no. 4, 279–283. MR MR1077959 (92g:33027)
29. M. Schlichenmaier and O. K. Scheinman, *The Sugawara construction and Casimir operators for Krichever-Novikov algebras*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **92** (1998), no. 2, 3807–3834, Complex analysis and representation theory, 1. MR 1666274 (2000g:17036)
30. Martin Schlichenmaier, *Higher genus affine algebras of Krichever-Novikov type*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 4, 1395–1427. MR 2058804 (2005f:17025)
31. ———, *Local cocycles and central extensions for multipoint algebras of Krichever-Novikov type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **559** (2003), 53–94. MR 1989644 (2004c:17056)
32. O. K. Sheinman, *Highest-weight modules for affine Lie algebras on Riemann surfaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **29** (1995), no. 1, 56–71, 96. MR MR1328538 (96b:17005)
33. O. K. Sheĭnman, *Second-order Casimirs for the affine Krichever-Novikov algebras* $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{g,2}$ *and* $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{g,2}$, Fundamental mathematics today (Russian), Nezavis. Mosk. Univ., Moscow, 2003, pp. 372–404. MR 2072650 (2005i:17029)
34. O. K. Sheinman, *Highest-weight representations of Krichever-Novikov algebras and integrable systems*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **60** (2005), no. 2(362), 177–178. MR 2152962 (2006b:17041)
35. M. Shlichenmaier and O. K. Sheinman, *The Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov theory, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and Krichever-Novikov algebras*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **54** (1999), no. 1(325), 213–250. MR MR1706819 (2001f:81060)
36. Minoru Wakimoto, *Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra* $A_1^{(1)}$, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 4, 605–609. MR 87m:17011
37. ———, *Lectures on infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. MR MR1873994 (2003b:17033)

Department of Mathematics, The College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston SC 29424, USA
E-mail address: `coxbl@cofc.edu`
URL: `http://coxbl.people.cofc.edu/papers/preprints.html`

Department of Mathematics, The College of Charleston, Charleston SC 29424
E-mail address: `jurisiche@cofc.edu`